



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

INDEPENDENCE PARK,) No. CV 13-07014-UA
)
Plaintiff,) ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING
) IMPROPERLY-REMOVED ACTION
v.)
)
MONET MOSS, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
)

The Court will remand this "Complaint for Unlawful Detainer - Amount Does Not Exceed \$10,000," Case No. 13R04954, to state court summarily because Defendant removed it improperly.

On September 20, 2013, Defendant Monet Moss, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice Of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed in forma pauperis. The Court has denied the latter application under separate cover because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, Plaintiff could not have brought this action in

1 federal court in the first place, in that Defendant does not
2 competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-
3 question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C.
4 § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S.
5 546, 563 (2005). Even if complete diversity of citizenship exists,
6 the amount in controversy does not exceed the diversity-jurisdiction
7 threshold of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the
8 contrary, the unlawful-detainer complaint recites that the amount in
9 controversy does not exceed \$10,000.

10 Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal
11 legal question. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).

12 Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that (1) this matter be **REMANDED** to
13 the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, Santa Monica
14 Courthouse, 1725 South Main St., Santa Monica, California 90401 for
15 lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c);
16 (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state
17 court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the
18 parties.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20
21 DATED: 9/26/13


22 HONORABLE GEORGE H. KING
23 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28